



EXPEDIENTE: 202100000050

**MEMORANDUM OF THE JURY OF THE RICHARD H. DRIEHAUS JURY-BASED  
DESIGN COMPETITION 2020-2022.**

**CHAIRPERSON:**

Ms. María Ángeles Fernández  
Hernando  
Vice Deputy Director of Architecture and  
Building

**MEMBERS OF THE JURY:**

Mr. José Baganha  
Expert on the matter which is the aim of the  
Competition

Ms. Elena Calama  
Head of the Architecture Promotion and  
Dissemination Department within the  
Architecture and Building Directorate

Mr. Rafael Calderón Alonso  
Expert designated by the Town Council in  
Zubiri. Member of the board evaluating the  
proposals for Lot 3

Ms. Estefanía Fernández-Cid  
Fernández-Viña  
Winner of the 2018-2019 Edition of this  
Competition

Mr. Leopoldo Gil Cornet  
Expert on the matter which is the aim of the  
Competition

Mr. Rafael Manzano Martos  
Expert on the matter which is the aim of the  
Competition

D. Eva Moral Acuña  
Expert designated by the Town Council in  
Buitrago del Lozoya. Member of the board  
evaluating the proposals for Lot 1

D. Ricardo Saiz Iñiguez  
Expert designated by the Town Council in  
Valpuesta. Member of the board evaluating the  
proposals for Lot 2

Ms. M<sup>a</sup> José del Toro Oliva

In Madrid, on 10 March 2022, at 9:30 the  
jury meets at the Ministry of Transports,  
Mobility and Urban Agenda. The jury is  
made up of the members which can be  
seen on the side here, with the following  
agenda:

1. Procedure carried out in order to  
select the proposals following the  
evaluation criteria established in point  
10 of the terms and conditions of the  
Competition.



Expert appointed by the Spanish Institute of Cultural Heritage within the Ministry of Culture and Sports

**SECRETARY TO THE JURY:**

D. Alejandro García Hermida  
Executive Director of the Architecture  
Competition Richard H. Driehaus

The Jury has carried out the following actions:

1. All the members of the Jury have had prior access to the contents of the design proposals presented since they received the documentation directly to their email accounts via the platform wetransfer.com from the team organizing the Competition.
2. The President suggests, in accordance with point 15.3 of the terms and conditions of the Competition, giving start to the selection procedure, basing this process on a system of discussion and successive discarding, giving a score to each of the proposals according to the criteria established in the terms and conditions of the Competition.
3. In the first round, a series of proposals were discarded unanimously by the Jury, identifying them by their respective codes:

<b>LOT 1 (BUIRAGO)</b>	<b>LOT 2 (VALPUESTA)</b>	<b>LOT 3 (ZUBIRI)</b>
3426	3319	3295
3440	3436	3354
	3444	3456
	3484	

There is an acknowledgement of the work developed in each case. However, these are considered to be those which are less close to the aims of the proposals in each case.

In a second round, a series of proposals were discarded unanimously by the Jury, identifying them by their respective codes:

<b>LOT 1 (BUIRAGO)</b>	<b>LOT 2 (VALPUESTA)</b>	<b>LOT 3 (ZUBIRI)</b>
3328	3344	3309
3394	3392	3382
3471	3438	3479
	3451	3480



	3452	
	3478	

There is an acknowledgement of the fact that each of them have specific aspects which are of a positive nature and which were mentioned during the discussions. However, the verdict is that other proposals respond more adequately to the expectations as a whole of the different points of view which are searched for in each case.

Therefore, the proposals that remained as the final ones were the following:

LOT 1 (BUIRAGO)	LOT 2 (VALPUESTA)	LOT 3 (ZUBIRI)
3310	3345	3332
3363	3348	3376
3460	3381	3446
3463	3449	3461
3476	3475	3472
	3483	3473

Among all of them, the jury specially highlighted the qualities of the following proposals:

LOT 1 (BUIRAGO)	LOT 2 (VALPUESTA)	LOT 3 (ZUBIRI)
3310	3348	3332
3363	3449	3446
3476	3483	3472
		3473

4. The members of the Jury deliberate on the proposals, deciding, unanimously, that the prizes were to be given to these ones presented below:

#### **PRIZES REGARDING LOT 1 (BUIRAGO)**

**FIRST PRIZE worth 12,000€ (WINNING PROPOSAL)** for the proposal submitted with code 3476, in which the jury highlighted the general urban development, the quality of the new public spaces to be created and the design of the new proposed volumes, along with a meticulous distribution and composition of interior spaces and the continuation and updating of local traditional building systems. The only doubts expressed concerned the suitability of the railing solution proposed for the upper plaza and the possible need to render the buildings, both of which aspects could easily be adjusted.



Apart from that, the jury decided to award two honourable mentions to the proposals which were considered especially successful in solving some of the arisen issues.

**FIRST HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€** for the proposal submitted with code 3363, in which the jury also especially appreciated the general urban development, the way in which the level difference between plazas is overcome, and the proposed volumes, in which the separation positioned between two of the pre-existing buildings to be rebuilt was seen as well justified and resolved and defensible. But the jury considered that some of the formal and material solutions reflected only partially the place's inherent character, and that the proposed access to the Cuadrón building might be unsuitable in view of its proportions and position.

**SECOND HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€** for the proposal submitted with code 3310, and for which praise was given to the proposed volumes and the thorough attention to the building systems of local tradition and the inclusion of these in the design. But the development proposed for the public spaces, better suited to an area with more road traffic, and some aspects of the composition and distribution of the buildings, were seen as less suitable.

## **PRIZES REGARDING LOT 2 (VALPUESTA)**

**FIRST PRIZE worth 12,000€ (WINNING PROPOSAL)** for the proposal submitted with code 3483, in which the jury highlighted the treatment of the public spaces and the linkage between the project site and the neighbouring streets and plazas, along with the quality and distribution of interior spaces and the well-suited and integrated solution for increasing headroom in the top floor. The only doubts expressed concerned the treatment of the south facade, which will need to be reconsidered, as will some of the paving to be used, both of which aspects could easily be altered.

The jury also decided to award honourable mentions to two other proposals which they considered best responded to solving some of the arisen issues.

**FIRST HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€** for the proposal submitted with code 3449, in which the jury especially appreciated the way of resolving the proposed programme with no need to resort to accretions to the pre-existing buildings, and the mastery of the forms and building solutions of local tradition. But the solution proposed for the west area of the project site was deemed less feasible.

**SECOND HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€** for the proposal submitted with code 3348, and for which praise was given to the proposed general urban development, as well as the solution adopted to increase headroom in the top floor of the north building, although the structural solution offered for this same building was seen as less appropriate and unsuited to the materials used.

## **PRIZES REGARDING LOT 3 (ZUBIRI)**

**FIRST PRIZE worth 12,000€ (WINNING PROPOSAL)** for the proposal submitted with code 3473, in which the jury highlighted the proposed preservation and adaptation of the building's existing elements and systems, along with the distribution and utilisation of the interior space, and a concern to maintain, highlight and recover the character of the interiors as well as the exterior elevations. Although the proposed outside storage space may turn out to be rather small, the adoption of this solution was deemed well justified and, overall, the general approach seemed highly feasible and suitable.

The jury also decided to award honourable mentions to two other proposals which they considered best responded to solving some of the arisen issues.



**FIRST HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€** for the proposal submitted with code 3472, for its proposed general development, with an approach to exterior volumes that is nicely linked to the surroundings and the building, and also for the interest of the proposed interior spaces, without overlooking the preservation of at least the building's main structural elements. But the way these elements were to be conserved and the structural proposal generally seemed barely feasible and in need of structural reinforcements beyond the current aims.

**SECOND HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€** for the proposal submitted with code 3446, in which the jury also highlighted the judicious dimensions and arrangement of the exterior volume, along with a concern for conserving and highlighting the main structural elements of the pre-existing building. But doubts were expressed about the choice to limit the openings in the main elevation, as this is also the southern side, and the utilisation of the interior of the building was seen as overly constrained.

**THIRD HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€** for the proposal submitted with code 3332, as the jury deemed that well-judged solutions were adopted for the general development of the ensemble and the arrangement of the exterior volume, the exterior composition of the pre-existing building, and the conservation and utilisation of the interior elements and spaces. But the solution proposed for the front of the new building was seen as questionable in compositional and structural terms. The character given to the public space on the west side of the main building was also considered not well suited to the place.

5. By telematic means at 15:18 on 10 March all the participants registered in the competition for the three locations in the registry of the webpage of the Competition are informed of the codes of each of the award-winning proposals for the aforementioned lots, as well as of the amount each one of them has been awarded with.

6. Once the aforementioned information is transmitted to the participants, and according to point 15.3 of the terms and conditions of the Competition, the secretary of the Jury proceeds at 15:55 to request the download of the data base of the web page of the Competition to access the personal information linked to the codes of each of the winning proposals to abandon their anonymity. The identity of each and every one of the winning participants is the following:

#### **LOT 1 (BUITRAGO DEL LOZOYA)**

**FIRST PRIZE worth 12,000€ (WINNING PROPOSAL):** granted to the proposal presented under code 3476, corresponding to the team made up by Javier Senosiain Jauregui, with the collaboration of Claudia García Aznar.

**FIRST HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€:** granted to the proposal presented under code 3363, corresponding to the team made up by Luxán Arquitectos SLP, with the collaboration of Fernando Frías Pulido.

**SECOND HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€:** granted to the proposal presented under code 3310, corresponding to the team made up by David García Montes, with the collaboration of Isabel Moreno Núñez and Jorge Montes Serna.



## **LOT 2 (VALPUESTA)**

FIRST PRIZE worth 12,000€ (WINNING PROPOSAL): granted to the proposal presented under code 3483, corresponding to the team made up by Susana Granizo Polo and Silvia N. Gómez Díaz.

FIRST HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€: granted to the proposal presented under code 3449, corresponding to the team made up by Manuel Viola Nevado, Fernando Enríquez Martín and Antonio Leníndez Cobo.

SECOND HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2,000€: granted to the proposal presented under code 3348, corresponding to the team made up by Ignacio Pérez Anzola, Pablo Menéndez Paunero and Héctor Termenón López, with the collaboration of Diego Martínez Vélez.

## **LOT 3 (ZUBIRI)**

FIRST PRIZE worth 12.000€ (WINNING PROPOSAL): granted to the proposal presented under code 3473, corresponding to the team made up by Francisco Sánchez Salazar, Miguel Á. Antonio García and Jesús Llanos Jiménez.

FIRST HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€: granted to the proposal presented under code 3472, corresponding to the team made up by Maddi Berraondo Amezqueta, Cristina Franco Pérez, Luca Cerullo and Eloy Rafael Domínguez Díez, with the collaboration of Francisco Naranjo Martell, Miren Azcona Azabal, José Luis Fernández Lozano and Raquel Santamarta Regueras.

SECOND HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€: granted to the proposal presented under code 3446, corresponding to the team made up by Alberto Hueso Carro and Daniel Andrés Varela Cruces.

THIRD HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€: granted to the proposal presented under code 3332, corresponding to the team made up by Víctor Guimerá Millán, with the collaboration of María del Pilar Rama Lara.

**7.** The Secretary of the jury reads out point 9.1 of the terms and conditions of the Competition which establishes that: In each one of the lots, the contestant who has obtained the First prize is given the right to opt for contract award of the development of the architecture project and the health and safety project, as well as, if it is the case, the direction of the works, with the only condition of guaranteeing technical and human means according to the nature of the commission as well as as many other complementary technical projects as could be necessary to obtain the necessary licences and authorizations. To carry out the contract of the winning proposal of each lot, the contracting body will have the faculty to establish a negotiation procedure, with no advertisement, and with no concurrence, directly negotiating with the winner (First prize) as established in point 168 et seq. of the LCSP, regarding the economic and technical aspects which will be the object of negotiation between the contracting parties.

**8.** The administrative documentation presented by the winning proposals will be examined and it will be determined if any documentation has errors which must be corrected, proceeding to establish the appropriate requirements if that is the case.



**9.** After, the administrative personnel will be in charge of downloading the personal information linked to the codes of each and every one of the non-winning proposals to identify them in order to be able to send notifications –if necessary- to the contestants which have not received a prize, noting those who have expressed their desire to remain anonymous. A list will be elaborated and remained in custody of the Secretary without making the contractor’s profile public.

**10.** The Jury agrees to forward the scores (and the reasons which have led to them) of each of the evaluated proposals to the Procurement Service, being these at the participants disposal so they are able to check and go over their own score and the ones of the winning proposals. The Jury agrees to forward this memorandum with the aim of continuing with the procurement procedure in order to grant the winners with their prize.

At 16:00h 10 March 2022, the President of the Jury considers the act of which this memorandum may be considered as a true reflection, finalised. This memorandum has been drafted in accordance with article 81.3 of R.D. 1098/2001, which approves the General Regulations regarding the Public Administrations Contract Law, with the signature of both the President and the Secretary of the Jury, where and when aforementioned.

This memorandum has been translated into English from its original version in Spanish.