



## MEMORANDUM OF THE JURY OF THE RICHARD H. DRIEHAUS JURY-BASED DESIGN COMPETITION 2018-2019

### **ALTERNANTE CHAIRPERSON:**

D. Anselmo Menéndez Menéndez  
Assistant Director of Housing Policies

### **MEMBERS OF THE JURY:**

D. José Baganha

Architect, expert on the matter which is the aim  
of the Competition

D. Javier Bonilla Rodríguez

Architect, designated by the Town Council in  
Béjar. Member of the board evaluating the  
proposals for Lot 1

D. Javier Cenicacelaya

Architect appointed by the Senior Council of  
Associations of Architects in Spain

Dña. Mónica García Murillo

Architect appointed by the Ministry of Public  
Works and Transport

D. Leopoldo Gil Cornet

Architect, expert on the matter which is the aim  
of the Competition

D. León Krier

Architect, expert on the matter which is the aim  
of the Competition

D. Rafael Manzano Martos

Architect, expert on the matter which is the aim  
of the Competition

D. Alejandro Pretel Avilés

Architect, designated by the Town Council in  
Guadix. Member of the board evaluating the  
proposals for Lot 2

D. Ignacio San Juan Zabaleta

Architect, designated by the Town Council in  
Olite. Member of the board evaluating the  
proposals for Lot 3

Dña. M<sup>a</sup> José del Toro Oliva

Representative appointed by the Spanish  
Institute of Cultural Heritage within the Ministry  
of Culture and Sports

Dña. Harriet Wennberg

Proxy appointed by the INTBAU

In Madrid, on 11 April, at 9:30 the jury  
meets in room A-737 of the Ministry of  
Public Works. The jury is made up of the  
members which can be seen on the side  
here, with the following agenda:

1. Procedure carried out in order to  
select the proposals following the  
evaluation criteria established in point  
10 of the terms and conditions of the  
Competition.



**SECRETARY TO THE JURY:**

D. Alejandro García Hermida  
Coordinator of the Architecture Competition  
Richard H. Driehaus

The Jury has carried out the following actions:

1. All the members of the Jury have had prior access to the contents of the design proposals presented since they received the documentation directly to their email accounts via the platform wetransfer.com from the team organizing the Competition.
2. The President suggests, in accordance with point 15.3 of the terms and conditions of the Competition, giving start to the selection procedure, basing this process on a system of discussion and successive discarding, giving a score to each of the proposals according to the criteria established in the terms and conditions of the Competition.
3. In the first round, a series of proposals were discarded unanimously by the Jury, identifying them by their respective codes:

<b>LOT 1 (BÉJAR)</b>	<b>LOT 2 (GUADIX)</b>	<b>LOT 3 (OLITE)</b>
2593	2637	2572
2622	2671	2587
2626	2710	2599
2651	2736	2619
2653	2759	2655
2737	2778	2678
2738	2813	2694
2739	2816	2750
2780	2823	2757
		2767
		2779
		2788
		2805



There is an acknowledgement of the work developed in each case. However, these are considered to be those which are less close to the aims of the proposals in each case.

In a second round, a series of proposals were discarded unanimously by the Jury, identifying them by their respective codes:

<b>LOT 1 (BÉJAR)</b>	<b>LOT 2 (GUADIX)</b>	<b>LOT 3 (OLITE)</b>
2615	2806	2752
2770	2812	2814
2811		2820
		2821

There is an acknowledgement of the fact that each of them have specific aspects which are of a positive nature and which were mentioned during the discussions. However, the verdict is that other proposals respond more adequately to the expectations as a whole of the different points of view which are searched for in each case.

Therefore, the proposals that remained as the final ones were the following:

<b>LOT 1 (BÉJAR)</b>	<b>LOT 2 (GUADIX)</b>	<b>LOT 3 (OLITE)</b>
2570	2650	2571
2574	2768	2676
2588	2796	2810
2659	2807	2817
2701	2815	2824
2706		

Among all of them, the jury specially highlighted the qualities of the following proposals:

<b>LOT 1 (BÉJAR)</b>	<b>LOT 2 (GUADIX)</b>	<b>LOT 3 (OLITE)</b>
2574		2571
2588		2676

4. The members of the Jury deliberate on the proposals, deciding, unanimously, that the prizes were to be given to these ones presented below:

#### **PRIZES REGARDING LOT 1 (BÉJAR)**

**FIRST PRIZE worth 12.000€ (WINNING PROPOSAL)** is granted to the proposal presented under code 2574. They highlighted both the way of organizing the different spaces where the intervention



takes place and the design of the new suggested volumes. However, it was considered a project which was excessively open towards its north elevation, a solution which did not seem the best option taking into consideration the climate of the place it is set in.

**FIRST HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€** is granted to the proposal presented under code 2588. The most notable element of the proposal was the volumetric idea presented for the future student's hall, because of its integration within its characteristic urban fabric. Another aspect that was also positively considered was the projects' general organization. However, what they found as missing, was a clear proposal for the elevation towards the *Calle de los Curas* of the aforementioned building.

**SECOND HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€** is granted to the proposal presented under code 2570. It was highly considered because of the solution regarding the architectural volume itself and the space created within the building destined to hold the future student's hall. However, on the other hand, it was found unsatisfactory in terms of the composition suggested for the building towards the *Calle de los Curas*, due to the design chosen for its access from this road.

**THIRD HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€** is granted to the proposal presented under code 2701, considering its most positive aspects: the general organization of both exterior and interior spaces and its proposal for the elevation towards the *Calle de los Curas*. However, what was found as being inadequate was suggesting to rest the student's hall on the wall of the city, and also the part of the proposal concerning the walkway between the old workroom connecting from the upper level and the design of the railings of its surrounding area.

## **PRIZES REGARDING LOT 2 (GUADIX)**

The jury decided only to declare void the competition for this lot. In accordance with point 15.3 of the terms and conditions of the Competition, having considered that none of the presented proposals responded in a satisfactory way to the aims -in their totality- linked to this location, it was declared void with the will of including this lot again in the following competition notice. This way, the participants who wish to do so, may complete or improve their proposals and compete again within this lot.

While there were many proposals which accomplished in a satisfactory way aspects such as the reconstruction of the square which gathered houses in a current state of ruin or the rehabilitation of the former minor seminary, there were merely proposals considered adequate for the diverse public spaces among which we could highlight the *Plaza Pedro de Mendoza* and more importantly, the access to the Citadel from this square, as well as the treatment of the future platform of the Citadel itself.

## **PRIZES REGARDING LOT 3 (OLITE)**

**FIRST PRIZE worth 12.000€ (WINNING PROPOSAL)** is granted to the proposal presented under code 2676. The jury highlighted the solution given for the arrangement of the *plaza del Fosal*, respecting the proportions and hierarchy of the volumes which shape it, especially with regard to the relationship between the cloister of the Church of Saint Peter, this building's façade and the volume of the architectural building of the proposal. The jury also considered as a positive point in favour of the proposal, the fact that the team behind it had successfully included the unique character of the architecture of Olite and its characteristic constructive solutions. However, they did find an aspect where the project could hold some sort of improvement, and that is, some of the composition aspects of



the main elevation.

**FIRST HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€** is granted to the proposal presented under code 2571, because of the numerous virtues of the proposal concerning the reorganization of public space both relating to the *plaza del Fosal* and the *plaza de la Rueda*. The jury also highlights the architectural solution for the elevation which presides the intersection between the streets *Rúa Villavieja* and *Rúa de Abadía*, based on existing architectural precedents present in the urban complex itself. On the other hand, it was considered that the height of the new suggested volume for the *plaza del Fosal* would have a negative impact when perceived from the adjacent cloister.

**SECOND HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€** is granted to the proposal presented under code 2817, because of its ability in understanding and making use of the characteristic aspects of the traditional architecture of the location in the different suggested volumes as well as for its proven will in order to improve the image of the back of the *plaza de la Rueda* as one approaches it from the Castle. However, the counterpoint of this proposal which was considered as an aspect which could have been improved, is the treatment given to the *plaza del Fosal*.

**THIRD HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€** is granted to the proposal presented under code 2824. The most notable characteristics of the proposal were the solution given to solve the current opening of what formerly must have been the border of the wall surrounding the area close to the *plaza de la Rueda* and the reorganization of the public space regarding this same square. The solution by means of a tower, implemented on the corner of the new building to be constructed as established in the proposal for the *plaza del Fosal* was also considered as a positive aspect of the proposal. However, it was commented that the proposal did not exactly fit the vernacular characteristic aspects unique to Olite. It seemed as if it would have been closer to other architectures of different regions throughout Spain.

5. By telematic means, at 16:57, all the registered participants in the web of the Competition receive the information regarding the codes of each of the winning proposals, as well as the prizes granted to each one of them.

6. Once the aforementioned information is transmitted to the participants, and according to point 15.3 of the terms and conditions of the Competition, the secretary of the Jury proceeds at 17:58 to request the download of the data base of the web page of the Competition to access the personal information linked to the codes of each of the winning proposals to abandon their anonymity. The identity of each and every one of the winning participants is the following:

#### **LOT 1 (BÉJAR)**

**FIRST PRIZE worth 12.000€ (WINNING PROPOSAL):** granted to the proposal presented under code 2574, corresponding to the team made up by Abelardo Linares del Castillo-Valero and Elena Jiménez Sánchez, with their collaborators Paloma Márquez Aguilar and Javier Barangua Gómez-Calero.

**FIRST HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€:** granted to the proposal presented under code 2588, corresponding to the team made up by Eva Niño Mendizábal, Elia San Román Vázquez, Carmen Carral Pérez and Carlos César Fernández Martín.

**SECOND HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€:** granted to the proposal presented under code 2570, corresponding to the team made up by Alfonso Zavala Cendra and Ramón Andrada González-Parrado, with their collaborator Paloma Sanz Cuerda.



THIRD HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€: granted to the proposal presented under code 2701, corresponding to the team made up by Fernando Enríquez Martín and Antonio Lendínez Cobo.

### **LOT 3**

FIRST PRIZE worth 12.000€ (WINNING PROPOSAL): granted to the proposal presented under code 2676, corresponding to the team made up by Xavier Espinós Bermejo, Lucía Espinós Bermejo, Estefanía Fernández-Cid Fernández-Viña and Rodrigo Toro Sánchez.

FIRST HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€: granted to the proposal presented under code 2571, corresponding to the team made up by Aritz Díez Oronoz and Imanol Iparraguirre Barbero.

SECOND HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€: granted to the proposal presented under code 2817, corresponding to the team made up by Alejandro Martínez del Río, Francisco Javier Gómez Patrocinio, Ana María Villalba Benajas and Brandon Henao Meléndez.

THIRD HONOURABLE MENTION worth 2000€: granted to the proposal presented under code 2824, corresponding to the team made up by Richard Sammons, Anne Fairfax Ellett, Andrew Krizman and David Pearson.

7. The Secretary of the jury reads out point 9.1 of the terms and conditions of the Competition which establishes that: In each one of the lots, the contestant who has obtained the First prize is given the right to opt for contract award of the development of the architecture project and the health and safety project, as well as, if it is the case, the direction of the works, with the only condition of guaranteeing technical and human means according to the nature of the commission as well as as many other complementary technical projects as could be necessary to obtain the necessary licences and authorizations. To carry out the contract of the winning proposal of each lot, the contracting body will have the faculty to establish a negotiation procedure, with no advertisement, and with no concurrence, directly negotiating with the winner (First prize) as established in point 168 et seq. of the LCSP, regarding the economic and technical aspects which will be the object of negotiation between the contracting parties.

8. The administrative documentation presented by the winning proposals will be examined and it will be determined if any documentation has errors which must be corrected, proceeding to establish the appropriate requirements if that is the case.

9. After, the administrative personnel will be in charge of downloading the personal information linked to the codes of each and every one of the non-winning proposals to identify them in order to be able to send notifications –if necessary- to the contestants which have not received a prize, noting those who have expressed their desire to remain anonymous. A list will be elaborated and remained in custody of the Secretary without making the contractor's profile public.

10. The Jury agrees to forward the scores (and the reasons which have led to them) of each of the evaluated proposals to the Procurement Service, being these at the participants disposal so they are able to check and go over their own score and the ones of the winning proposals. The Jury agrees to forward this memorandum with the aim of continuing with the procurement procedure in order to grant the winners with their prize.



At 18:30, the President of the Jury considers the act of which this memorandum may be considered as a true reflection, finalised. This memorandum has been drafted in accordance with article 81.3 of R.D. 1098/2001, which approves the General Regulations regarding the Public Administrations Contract Law, with the signature of both the President and the Secretary of the Jury, where and when aforementioned.

This memorandum has been translated into English from its original version in Spanish.